

CENTER FOR COURT INNOVATION

Operating Projects Annual Reports

Midtown Community Court	Page 1
Red Hook Community Justice Center	Page 8
Bronx Community Solutions	Page 18
Brooklyn Mental Health Court	Page 27
Harlem Community Justice Center	Page 36
Brooklyn Justice Initiatives	Page 46
Newark Community Solutions	Page 54

Year 2016

CENTER
FOR
COURT
INNOVATION

MIDTOWN
COMMUNITY
COURT

Year 2016

Midtown Community Court Annual Report Year 2016

Attached is a statistical report on activity at the Midtown Community Court for January through December of 2016. A few highlights:

Criminal Court: In 2016, 6,918 criminal court cases were arraigned. Since its inception in October of 1993, the court has handled 259,626 criminal court cases. The 2016 disposition rate at arraignment for criminal court cases was 66 percent. The compliance rates for community and/or social service mandates were 81 percent and 85 percent, respectively. To date, the court's community and social service compliance rates are 76 percent and 79 percent, respectively.

Summonses: During 2016, Midtown handled 10,252 summons appearances, which reflects a 63 percent show-up rate and a 93 percent disposition rate.

Intermediate Sanctions: Community service and/or social service sanctions, as a percentage of misdemeanor cases disposed at Midtown, accounted for just under 50 percent of the sentences imposed in 2016. The top four community service activities in this period were (1) assisting in community restoration (e.g., litter removal, painting), (2) participating in community restitution projects (e.g., cleaning parks, planting trees), (3) courthouse maintenance, and (4) working in the Times Square Express mailroom. During 2016, defendants sentenced to community service completed 30,640 hours of community service. The top four social service sanctions were (1) anti-shoplifting program, (2) youth programming, (3) individual counseling, and (4) treatment readiness programs.

Fatherhood Program and Workforce Development: In support of New York City's Young Men's Initiative, Midtown's onsite job training and fatherhood programs seek to support men and strengthen families in New York City. In 2016, Midtown's program enrolled 181 participants. All were non-custodial fathers and roughly 90 percent had a criminal record. Fourty-two participants were placed in employment in 2016. In addition to a job training curriculum, Midtown uses an evidence-based cognitive behavioral therapy program, Thinking for a Change, to provide comprehensive services. Of 181 who enrolled in the program, 36 participants completed the entire 6-week program and 47 participants demonstrated both increased financial support of, and emotional engagement with, their children.

Other Program Highlights

- Project Reset is a program for 16- and 17-year-old first time offenders that offers meaningful diversion opportunities at the point of arrest. The program seeks to create a proportionate response to low-level crime, holding young people accountable for their actions and connecting them with needed social services while avoiding the potential harms associated with standard case processing. In 2016, 123 participants were enrolled with a 100% completion rate.
- New York Legal Assistance (NYLAG) worked with Midtown throughout 2016 via their Mobile Legal Help Center. Attorneys stationed at Midtown have so far provided counseling, advice and representation to dozens of individuals with civil legal service need such as housing, public benefits, and immigration.
- Since 2012, Midtown's Adolescent Diversion Program handles cases involving 16- and 17-year old defendants. This program addresses the unique needs of adolescent defendants in criminal court by offering social services and alternative dispositions that seek to reduce the consequences of adult criminal convictions. Since inception, Midtown has provided services to 1,937 defendants.
- In 2012, Midtown created Midtown Treatment Track, a 90-day drug treatment sentencing option for misdemeanants. The Midtown Treatment Track fills a gap in services for those defendants with less serious criminal histories by offering a tailored treatment mandate. Since 2012, 157 defendants have been enrolled.
- In 2016, Midtown, as the site of Manhattan's Human Trafficking Intervention Court, provided prostitution programming services to over 224 individuals. The program aims to help individuals safely exit the sex trade by identifying victims of sex trafficking, linking individuals to social services, and reducing or eliminating the consequences of a criminal conviction for victim-defendants. In 2016, approximately 15 percent of individuals mandated to onsite programs continued to receive voluntary services - including supportive counseling, housing, drug treatment and benefit assistance after completing their mandates.
- In 2016, Midtown Community Court co-hosted the second annual Police-Community Forum with James Baldwin High School. The forum brought criminal justice professional together for learning, collaboration, and honest dialogue, in which 130 youth attended. They heard from the Manhattan District Attorney's Office, NYPD Community Affairs, New Yorkers Against Gun Violence, S.O.S. South Bronx, the Department of Education, Replications, Project Attica, and a student-led group discussing race and justice. Throughout the day, participants had conversations, created art, and developed action plans – ending with commitments about how each participant would play a part in ending community violence.

I. CASELOAD

A. Volume

	Year 2016	Court to Date[^]
Misdemeanor Arraignments¹	6,918	259,626
Disposed at Arraignment²	66%	66%
Total Disposed at Midtown Community Court³:	5,938	193,860
Community Service Only	22%	33%
Social Service Only	14%	13%
Both Community and Social Service	13%	9%
Jail Time Imposed	14%	19%
Other⁴	37%	26%
Summons Appearances	10,252	198,316
Disposed	93%	92%
Total Pled Guilty or ACD:	41%	40%
Community Service Only	4%	4%
Social Service Only	12%	48%
Both Community and Social Service	<1%	<1%
Straight CD/ACD/Fine/Jail/Time Served/Other	84%	47%
TOTAL VOLUME	17,170	457,942

¹Cases arraigned at Midtown Community Court only.

²Cases arraigned and disposed at Midtown Community Court.

³Cases arraigned at Midtown Community Court or 100 Centre St. but disposed at Midtown Community Court.

⁴'Other' dispositions are primarily conditional discharges, time served, and fines.

[^] Midtown Community Court opened in 1993.

B. Appearance Rates

	Year 2016	Court to Date[^]
Summons	63%	62%

I. CASELOAD, continued

C. Top Charges

Misdemeanors

Summons Appearances

Year 2016	Court to Date[^]	Year 2016	Court to Date[^]
Trespassing (30%)	Turnstile Jumping (14%)	Open Container (21%)	Open Container (30%)
Stolen Property (26%)	Vending (14%)	Disord. Conduct (10%)	Disord. Conduct (16%)
Drugs (13%)	Stolen Property (12%)	Trespassing (9%)	Public Urination (7%)
Turnstile Jumping (7%)	Trespassing (10%)	Public Urination (7%)	Trespassing (7%)

[^] Midtown Community Court opened in 1993.

D. Misdemeanors - Disposed at Arraignment¹

Misdemeanors	Year 2016	Court to Date[^]
Panhandling	80%	87%
Trespassing	72%	77%
Stolen Property	69%	65%
Drugs	67%	75%
Turnstile Jumping	62%	72%
Petit Larceny	61%	63%
Vending	54%	54%
TOTAL	66%	66%

Note: Cases not disposed of at arraignment are adjourned to 100 Centre Street, with the exception of prostitution offenses (starting in 2007), adolescent cases (involving 16-and 17-year olds starting in 2011), and a limited group of quality of life (QOL) offenses (starting in late 2015). Midtown handles these classes of cases for all purposes, including trial.

¹ All cases arraigned at Midtown Community Court.

[^] Midtown Community Court opened in 1993.

II. SANCTIONS
A. Misdemeanor Alternative Sentence Compliance¹

<i>2016</i>	<i>% Mandated</i>	<i>Median Days Mandated</i>	<i>% Compliance⁵</i>
Community Service Mandates (#)	2,037	1	81%
Community and Economic Development (BID)	31%	1	85%
Community Restitution Crew	19%	1	86%
Court Maintenance	17%	1	71%
Times Square Express Mail house	8%	1	85%
Other ²	27%	1	81%
Social Service Mandates (#)	1,859	1	85%
Anti-shoplifting group	32%	1	94%
Youth Programming ³	24%	1	95%
Individual Counseling Sessions	22%	1	80%
Treatment Readiness Programs	5%	6	53%
Gateways to Prevention Drug Use Programs	7%	1	89%
Veterans Program	1%	2	40%
Other ⁴	26%	1	85%
<i>Court to Date (1993 - present)</i>	<i>% Mandated</i>	<i>Median Days Mandated</i>	<i>% Compliance⁵</i>
Community Service Mandates (#)	95,005	1	76%
Court Maintenance	21%	1	75%
Community and Economic Development (BID)	18%	1	82%
Times Square Express Mail house	17%	1	79%
Community Restitution Crew	9%	1	82%
Other ²	41%	1	76%
Social Service Mandates (#)	60,862	1	79%
Individual Counseling Sessions	25%	1	89%
Anti-shoplifting group	17%	1	92%
Youth Programming ³	10%	2	91%
Treatment Readiness Programs	8%	4	58%
Recreational Drug Use Programs ⁶	3%	1	93%
Other ⁴	43%	1	78%

¹Includes only those cases that had a final disposition at Midtown Community Court.

²'Other' includes park cleaning, working at the Salvation Army and the YMCA, serving soup to the elderly, etc.

³'Youth Programming' includes Resources Groups and Motivating Youth Groups.

⁴'Other' includes adult employment programs and other various social service programs.

⁵Compliance rates are for or up to the previous quarter (Quarter 3, 2015) to allow time for defendants to complete their mandate.

⁶Includes Gateways to Prevention Drug Use programs and Cannabis Anti-Abuse Program.

II. SANCTIONS, continued

B. Prostitution Initiative (including Human Trafficking Intervention)¹

Program	Year 2016	October 2007 to Date
WISE²	99	1185
WISE Chinese and Korean²	125	647

¹Includes cases that were disposed at Midtown Community Court as well as cases being sent to Midtown Community Court for alternative sanctions.

² Women's Independence, Safety and Empowerment (WISE) is the only court-based comprehensive initiative in New York City for women over the age of 21 who have been arrested for prostitution. WISE utilizes a trauma-informed, cognitive behavioral therapy curriculum that explores such topics as safety, healthy relationships, trauma symptoms, healthy coping, grounding, self-nurturing, healing from anger, cognitive distortions, financial literacy, human trafficking and legal issues. The program start date is December of 2009; The "October 2007 to Date" totals include previous iterations of the WISE Programs known as CHOICES. Midtown partners with New York Asian Women's Center to provide services to Chinese- and Korean-speaking defendants.

C. Adolescent Diversion Program (ADP)

	Year 2016	Jan. 17, 2012 to Date
Total ADP Cases	254	1937
Community Service Only	17%	21%
Mean days of Community Service	1.67 days	2.00 days
Social Service Only	28%	17%
Mean days of Social Service	1.45 days	1.56 days
Both Community and Social Service	38%	45%
Mean days of Community Service	1.13 days	1.20 days
Mean days of Social Service	1.02 days	1.04 days
Total mean days of Service	2.15 days	2.24 days
Compliance Rates by Mandate		
Community Service	94%	84%
Social Service	94%	89%

III. UPNEXT¹

	<i>Year 2016</i>	<i>2014 to Date</i>
Participated in assessment/enrolled	181	594
Client completed 6-week session	36	131
Client increased both financial & emotional engagement w/ children	47	217
Client placed in employment	42	145

¹ UPNEXT began in 2014 as a combined program of *Times Square Inc.* (workforce development) and *Dad's United for Parenting* Programs. Between September 2001 - December 2013, workforce development programming had enrolled 2,439 clients and between July 2010 - December 2013, parenting programming had enrolled 689 clients.

RED HOOK
COMMUNITY
JUSTICE CENTER

Year 2016

Red Hook Community Justice Center Annual Report Year 2016

Attached is the Red Hook Community Justice Center annual report for 2016. Highlights include:

Criminal Court: In 2016, 3,576 criminal court cases were arraigned at the Red Hook Community Justice Center, as compared with 3,608 arraignments in 2015 (1 percent decrease). Forty-one percent of these criminal cases were disposed at arraignment. The completion rate of cases assigned to short-term community or social service mandates (fewer than 30 days or 16 sessions) was 90 percent. In addition, there were 148 new long-term drug or mental health treatment clients (at least 30 days of court-mandated treatment).

Summonses: During 2016, Red Hook handled 5,313 summons appearances, reflecting a 66 percent show-up rate.

Community Service Hours: During 2016, defendants sentenced to community service completed 5,928 hours of community service.

Housing Court: In 2016, there were 1113 new filings in Housing Court. The Housing Resource Center assisted 698 households with repairs and other services needed to achieve permanent, affordable and healthy housing.

Driver Accountability: In August 2015, Red Hook's Alternatives-to-Incarceration Department launched a new group intervention, the Driver Accountability Program, aimed at addressing driving-related offenses within its catchment area. The Program takes a restorative justice approach by increasing participants' awareness of their risky driving behavior and then requiring them to identify steps to reduce that behavior. In 2016, 298 defendants were mandated to this group.

Court-Involved Youth:

Adolescent ATI: In 2016, 587 16- to 24-year olds were mandated to Red Hook's Adolescent Diversion and ATI Programs - 87 percent to Track 1 (1-5 days of community and/or social services), 9 percent to Track 2 (6-15 days), and 4 percent to Track 3 (16 days or more). There was an 87 percent compliance rate for cases closed in 2016. In 2016, an evidence-based Criminal Court Assessment Tool (CCAT) was implemented to assist staff in identifying defendant needs.

Family Court: There were 15 family court filings in 2016, with no hearings or trials.

Youth Court: There were 109 youth court hearings in 2016 with an 88 percent completion rate. Twenty-two new members were active during this period.

Youth Development: The Justice Center runs a number of voluntary programs for youth; some of the participants are court-involved youth while others have no court involvement. In 2016, 82 youth were served through these programs, including Pathways to Graduation (formerly GED), the photography program, summer internship programs, theater programs and AmeriCorps.

Community Building and Public Health:

Peacemaking: Red Hook's peacemaking program builds on Native American tradition to offer an alternative form of dispute resolution for select cases referred from the court and community. Twenty-three new peacemakers from the community were trained in 2016, and 132 new cases started peacemaking.

Red Hook CARES: In 2016, the victim services program for survivors of crimes served 378 child and adult victims of assault, domestic violence, sexual abuse, and other forms of victimization. These clients received criminal justice support, personal advocacy, and counseling services, amongst others.

AmeriCorps: 2016 marked the return of AmeriCorps, a national service program with a long-standing tradition in Red Hook since 1995. Throughout the year, a total of 38 members of the Red Hook Community Resilience Corps performed service projects, served as mentors for local youth, and worked with clients and community members as frontline staff for onsite programs including victim services, peacemaking, ATI, and the Housing Resource Center, all with the goal of making Red Hook a safer, stronger, and more resilient community.

I. Red Hook Community Justice Center Volume

	YEAR 2016		COURT TO DATE [^]	
	#	% Total Volume	#	% Total Volume
Criminal Court Arraignments	3,576	36%	61,980	31%
New Long-term Drug and/or Mental Health Treatment Clients*	148		2,256	
Summons Appearances	5,313	53%	122,444	62%
Housing Court Filings	1,113	11%	13,859	7%
Total Volume	10,002		198,283	

[^] Criminal Court (including summons) opened in April 2000. Housing Court opened in May 2002.

*Mental Health Treatment Clients included in 2016 report

II. CRIMINAL COURT

Opened April 2000

	YEAR 2016		COURT TO DATE	
1. Arraignment at Red Hook	3,576		61,980	
a. Top Arraignment Charges	% Arraigned		% Arraigned	
Traffic	20%		13%	
Stolen Property	12%		5%	
Drug Possession	11%		12%	
Marijuana	9%		14%	
Trespassing	6%		6%	
b. Disposed at Arraignment	41%		49%	
c. Desk Appearance Ticket (DAT)	#	% of DAT's	#	% of DAT's
DAT's calendared	1,128	100%	10,776	100%
Resulted in warrant	63	6%	292	3%

|

II. CRIMINAL COURT (continued)

	YEAR 2016	COURT TO DATE
2. Disposition*		
a. Total Disposed	2,352	45,915
b. Disposition and Sentence Types	% of Disposed	% of Disposed
Pled Guilty	46%	44%
Social Service and/or Community Service	26%	27%
Social Service	18%	12%
Community Service	5%	9%
Social Service and Community Service	3%	5%
Jail	3%	3%
CD**	9%	9%
Other***	7%	5%
ACD	44%	46%
Social Service and/or Community Service	9%	23%
Social Service Only	5%	14%
Community Service Only	3%	6%
Social Service and Community Service	0%	3%
Straight ACD	35%	23%
Dismissed****	10%	10%

*Disposition rates are of those disposed in the time specified, regardless of when the case was arraigned.

**CD with no community or social service conditions. May have conditions including fines, surcharges, time served, restitution, probation, and licenses revoked or suspended.

***Other includes fines, surcharges, time served, restitution, probation, and licenses revoked or suspended.

**** Cases in Red Hook can get dismissed because of successful completion of a mandate. These types of dismissals are included in these numbers.

II. CRIMINAL COURT (continued)

3. Criminal Court Mandates and Completion						
a. Long-Term Mandates*			Closed 2016	Median Days**	Completion Rate	
Drug and/or Mental Health Treatment			86	155	65%	
b. Short-Term Mandates***			Mandates	% of Mandates	Median Days	Completion Rate
Total			1,667		1.9 days	90%
Community Service			305	18%	1.8 days	93%
Social Service			1094	66%	1.7 days	86%
Community and Social Service			268	16%	3.4 days	94%

*The completion percentage for long-term drug and/or mental health treatment is the percentage who completed successfully of those who closed in 2016, regardless of when they began their mandates.

**Median days for long-term treatment cases is determined by the number of days the case is under supervision of the court (from the case opened date to the case closed date).

***Completion rates for short term mandates refer to mandates assigned and closed in 2016 (excludes cases still open, which represents a minor percentage of cases).

4. Criminal Court Trials and Hearings	2016	2015	2014	2013
Trials	5	1	5	7
Hearings	1	7	3	6

III. SUMMONS APPEARANCES

Opened April 2000

	YEAR 2016		COURT TO DATE	
1. Summonses	# Summoned	% Summoned	# Summoned	% Summoned
a. Total Summonses	8,021		187,758	
b. Summons Appearances	5,313	66%	122,444	65%
c. Top Charges (of those that appeared)				
Public consumption of Alcohol on Streets	1,553	29%	28,530	23%
Parks & Rec (mostly in park after hours)	1,197	23%	17,818	15%
Administrative Code	552	10%	22,630	18%
2. Status of Arraigned Cases	# Arraigned	% Arraigned	# Arraigned	% Arraigned
a. Disposed cases	5,254	99%	120,885	99%
Pled Guilty	1,054	20%	14,954	12%
ACD	1,478	28%	52,915	43%
Dismissed*	2,722	51%	53,016	43%
b. Not Disposed - Adjourned/Other	59	1%	1,559	1%
3. Sentences of Disposed Cases	# Sentenced	% by Sentence	# Sentenced	% by Sentence
Total**	2,653	100%	62,929	100%
SS and/or CS	274	10%	13,961	22%
Social Service Only	205	8%	12,877	20%
Community Service Only	17	1%	783	1%
SS and CS	52	2%	301	0.5%
Straight CD/ACD, Fine, or Other***	2,379	90%	48,968	78%

* Many cases are not dismissed outright, but rather after a mandate(s), such as Driver Accountability Program, Quality of Life class, community service or other short-term mandate given by the judge.

** This total includes 256 cases that received a social service or community service mandate but were ultimately dismissed.

***Includes individuals who pled guilty by mail and paid a fine. This number also includes cases where community service or social service was completed pre-disposition and the individuals were then given a straight ACD as their disposition.

IV. HOUSING COURT

Opened May 2002

	YEAR 2016		COURT TO DATE	
	#	% Filings	#	% Filings
1. New Filings	1113	100%	13,859	100%
	#	% Appearances	#	% Appearances
2. New Calendared Cases*	755		8,607	
Nonpayment	641	85%	6,896	80%
HP Action	99	13%	1,203	14%
Holdover or Illegal activity	15	2%	508	6%
3. Orders to Show Cause**	#		#	
New Orders to Show Cause	414		4,875	
4. Final Case Status***	#		#	
Judgment	250		4,329	
Case Discontinued (Nonpayment cases only)	395		4,651	
Dismissed (HP cases only)	53		53	
Default Judgment	54		297	
5. Housing Resource Center (HRC)	#	%	#	%
a. Households Served	698		7311	
Referrals to HRA****	278	40%	3090	42%
Home visits^	126	18%	126	2%
b. Repairs Tracked^	514		514	
Nonpayment cases with stipulated repairs^	409	80%	409	80%
HP Actions with stipulated repairs^	105	20%	105	20%
HP Actions Closed Successfully^	39	37%	39	37%
HP Actions Closed Unsuccessfully^	6	6%	6	6%
HP Actions with Ongoing repairs^	60	57%	60	57%

*Types of Scheduled Appearances: Non-payment proceeding: Landlord seeks to evict tenant for non-payment of rent; HP Action: Tenant brings landlord to court to force landlord to correct housing code violations; Holdover proceeding or illegal activity: Landlord seeks to evict tenant for reasons other than non-payment of rent (e.g. nuisance behavior, illegal activity in the apartment, lease expiration)

**Order to Show Cause (OSC): Ex parte motion brought by a party seeking immediate relief from the court.

***These categories have been changed since the 2015 Annual Report because the case tracking changed mid-2015. A *judgment* is an agreement reached between the parties in a case. A stipulation is binding and the parties are obligated to comply with the terms of the agreement. This was changed from "Stipulation or parties with final judgment/no final judgment". In housing court stipulations are written and ordered by the Judge. *Case Discontinued* means that the case is closed either because 1) the tenant paid their rent; or 2) repairs were completed; *Dismissed* means that the HP Action was dismissed because repairs were completed; A *Default Judgment* occurs when the landlord automatically wins the case because the tenant fails to show up in court or answer court papers.

****HRA provides "One Shot Deals" and other public benefits to assist residents with paying their rent and remaining stably housed.

^Data reporting began on Homevisits and repairs tracked in 2016.

V. YOUTH COURT CASES

Opened March 1998

1. Referral Sources	YEAR 2016		COURT TO DATE	
	# Referred	% of Referrals	# Referred	% of Referrals
Total Referrals	456	100%	4310	100%
Police/YD Cards	434	95%	3571	83%
Family Court /Probation	8	2%	475	11%
Schools*	14	3%	14	0%
Criminal Court	0	0%	123	3%
Parents/Other	0	0%	127	3%
2. Total Youth Court Cases	#		#	
Total Hearings	109		2354	
3. Offenses**	#	% of Hearings	#	% of Hearings
Truancy	84	77%	833	35%
Trespass	3	3%	72	3%
Petit Larceny	5	5%	236	10%
Assault	3	3%	242	10%
Theft of Services	3	3%	226	10%
Marijuana Possession	5	5%	99	4%
Robbery	0	0%	28	1%
Vandalism & Graffiti	0	0%	101	4%
Disorderly Conduct	3	3%	142	6%
Harassment	0	0%	128	5%
Weapons	0	0%	167	7%
Other	3	3%	140	6%
4. Completion and Compliance***				
<i>Total Youth Court Compliance Rate****</i>	77		1,924	
Complied with sanction	58	75%	1617	84%
Did not comply with sanction	19	25%	307	16%
<i>Total Youth Court Completion Rate*****</i>	96	88%	198	88%
5. Members, active during the period	22		628	

*Began receiving referrals from schools in 2016.

** Hearings can be for more than one offense.

***Only for those cases that have been closed.

****Only for respondents given a sanction; not all respondents received a sanction.

*****Includes total number of youth court respondents who appeared for hearings. Data tracking began in 2016.

VI. Adolescent Diversion Program

Started January 17, 2012

	YEAR 2016		COURT TO DATE	
	#	%	#	%
Total ADP Cases	128		1283	
Red Hook originating (APY1)	108	84%	690	54%
Downtown originating (APY2)	20	16%	593	46%
Community Service Only	16	13%	156	12%
Mean days of Community Service	1.4		2.26	
Social Service Only	85	66%	804	63%
Mean days of Social Service	3.7		4.67	
Both Community and Social Service	26	20%	321	25%
Mean days of Community Service	2.6		2.18	
Mean days of Social Service	1.3		3.34	
Compliance Rates by Mandate*				
Community Service	79%		85%	
Social Service	85%		84%	
Both Community and Social Service	79%		88%	

*Compliance rates refer only to those cases that were closed and excludes open cases.

BRONX
COMMUNITY
SOLUTIONS

Year 2016

Bronx Community Solutions Annual Report Year 2016

Attached is the Bronx Community Solutions annual report for 2016. Highlights include:

Volume: In 2016, 8,793 cases were mandated to Bronx Community Solutions (as compared to 9,659 cases in 2015), 86 percent of which showed up for intake (as compared with 87 percent in 2015). The decrease in BCS mandates reflect a decrease in misdemeanor arraignments overall in the Bronx, from 45,717 in 2015, to 42,671 in 2016. However, in 2015 and 2016, 21 percent of misdemeanor cases arraigned were given a BCS mandate.

Mandates: For all clients mandated to Bronx Community Solutions in 2016, social service-only mandates were, on average, 2.1 days; community service-only mandates were, on average, 2.8 days; and combined social service and community service mandates consisted of, on average, 4.5 days total (with 2.0 days of social service and 2.5 days of community service).

Compliance: In 2016, overall compliance was 75 percent, while compliance rates for the social service-only and community service-only mandates were 79 percent and 71 percent, respectively.

Community Service: In 2016, Bronx Community Solutions clients completed 46,914 hours of community service, for an estimated contribution of \$340,148 in labor to the city.

Adolescent Diversion Program (ADP): In 2016, 1082 cases involving 16- and 17-year olds were mandated to Bronx Community Solutions. Of these, 16 percent were community service mandates, 74 percent were social service mandates, and 10 percent were a combination of both. Of ADP cases closed in 2016, 83 percent were successfully completed.

Pre-Trial Supervised Release: On March 1, 2016, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice (MOCJ) launched a citywide bail reform initiative in all five boroughs. Since the launch in March 2016, the Bronx Supervised Release program served 451 cases. 82% of cases attended all court.

Desk Appearance Ticket for Youth Program (DAT-Y): Launched at Bronx Community Solutions in October of 2015, the initiative provides early-diversion for 16- and 17-year-olds who have been issued a DAT for a non-violent offense. The program allows participants to receive a same-day dismissal and seal for successful completion of the DAT-Y programming at BCS. In 2016, a total of 440 DAT-Y cases were opened in the Bronx, and 98% of cases completed successfully.

Other Initiatives: In 2016, a specialized mental health screening instrument flagged 2,438 Bronx Community Solutions clients. Of the mental health initiative cases closed in 2016, 71 percent (1,105) completed their court mandates, which either consisted of a mental health group, an individual counseling session, or both. Also in 2016, as part of the Human Trafficking Initiative, Bronx Community Solutions staff worked with 121 prostitution cases, and 74 percent (98) of cases completed their court mandates, which consisted of intensive group and individual counseling.

Other Program Highlights

- Voluntary referrals have increased overall from 508 in 2015 to 590 in 2016. Employment/vocational services were the most frequent referrals. Specifically, Bronx Community Solutions clinical staff have increased voluntary referrals to individuals coming through the Adolescent Diversion Program; these much-needed services include but are not limited to mental health, employment, housing assistance, and health services.
- Mandate types and composition at Bronx Community Solutions have shifted substantially since 2013. Fewer cases in 2016 received community service-only (31 percent - down from 34 percent in 2015, 46 percent in 2014, and a high of 57 percent in 2013) and an increased number received social service-only (58 percent - up from 56 percent in 2015, 41 percent in 2014, and 37 percent in 2013). This change is due to the expanded menu of social services to satisfy court mandates.

I. Caseload

	Year 2016		Court-to-Date [^]	
	#	%	#	%
Mandates to Bronx Community Solutions	8,793		117,662	
Appeared for Intake	7,580	86%	103,713	88%
Case Closure^{**}	8,394		96,489	
Completed	6,300	75%	74,811	78%
Partial Completion, Failure, Other^{***}	2,094	25%	21,678	22%
Track 1^{^^}	7,980		75,852	
Completed	5,980	75%	59,129	78%
Partial Completion, Failure, Other^{***}	2,000	25%	16,723	22%
Track 2^{^^}	187		2,224	
Completed	141	75%	1,533	69%
Partial Completion, Failure, Other^{***}	46	25%	691	31%
Track 3^{^^}	227		1,428	
Completed	179	79%	1110	78%
Partial Completion, Failure, Other^{***}	48	21%	318	22%

^{**} Case Closure represents cases opened in any quarter but closed within the given period.

^{***} Other consists of cases that are warranted, abated, withdrawn by client and cases with an unknown case closure reason.

[^] Bronx Community Solutions opened in January 2005.

^{^^}Track One serves for low-level misdemeanor offenders who will be engaged in the program for a maximum of 7 days, and would otherwise receive a jail alternative of no more than 15 days. Individuals may be sentenced to either community service, social service, or a combination of both. Track Two serves individuals from 8 to 29 days, in addition to community and/or social service, this track includes program mandates like one-on-one counseling, substance abuse treatment, prostitution alternatives, job training, and youth services. Track Three serves repeat misdemeanants who have a sentence mandate greater than 30 days with more serious drug, mental health, family, and educational issues. These individuals typically have lengthy rap sheets and would otherwise receive a jail alternative of more than 45 days.

II. Mandates

	Year 2016		Court-to-Date [^]	
New Mandates to Bronx Community Solutions	8,793		117,662	
	#	%	#	%
Social Service Only	5,094	58%	47,886	41%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	2.13 days		2.33 days	
Community Service Only	2,726	31%	51,067	43%
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	2.75 days		3.34 days	
Social & Community Service	941	11%	17,437	15%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	2.00 days		2.41 days	
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	2.45 days		3.15 days	
Mandated - Total Mean Days	4.45 days		5.57 days	
Mandate Type Unknown	32	<1%	1,272	1%
Case Closure: Compliance Rates*	8,394		96,489	
Social Service	79%		82%	
Community Service	71%		77%	
Social & Community Service	68%		69%	

* Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period. Cases with mandate unknown are not included in the calculation of compliance rates. Compliance rate includes only those that showed up for intake.

[^] Bronx Community Solutions opened in January 2005.

III. Voluntary Referrals

Voluntary Referrals	Year 2016		Court-to-Date [^]	
	#	%	#	%
Voluntary Referrals	590		6,156	
Employment/Vocational Assistance	99	17%	3,009	49%
Prostitution	51	9%	213	3%
Mental Health Services	42	7%	920	15%
Adolescent Diversion Program	126	21%	346	6%
Legal*	30	5%	101	2%
Drug Treatment	25	4%	485	8%
Educational*	23	4%	96	2%
Health Services	53	9%	250	4%
Temp/Housing Assistance	35	6%	162	3%
Other**	106	18%	574	9%

*Educational and Legal referrals have been counted separately since 2014; previously these were included in the Other category.

**Other voluntary referrals may include individual counseling or services associated with prostitution, domestic violence, identification retrieval, DWI and/or veterans issues.

[^] Bronx Community Solutions opened in January 2005.

IV. Demographics

Average Age	Year 2016	Court-to-Date [^]
	31.4	32
Gender		
Female	24%	19%
Male	76%	81%
Transgender	<1%	<1%
Race		
Black/African-American	42%	44%
Hispanic/Latino	50%	48%
White	4%	4%
Other	3%	3%

[^] Bronx Community Solutions opened in January 2005.

**V. Adolescent Diversion Program
(Started in January 2012)**

	Year 2016		Court-to-Date	
Total ADP Cases	1082		4,053	
	#	%	#	%
Social Service Only	804	74%	2,142	53%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	1.82 days		2.34 days	
Community Service Only	170	16%	1,274	31%
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	2.58 days		2.12 days	
Social & Community Service	106	10%	624	15%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	1.82 days		2.36 days	
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	2.33 days		2.63 days	
Mandated - Total Mean Days	4.15 days		4.98 days	
Mandate Type Unknown	2	<1%	13	<1%
Case Closure: Compliance Rates*	1149		3,806	
Social Service	88%		88%	
Community Service	68%		77%	
Social & Community Service	74%		74%	

* Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period. Cases with mandate unknown are not included in the calculation of compliance rates. Compliance rate includes only those that showed up for intake.

VI. Pre-Trial Supervised Release
(Started in March 2016)

	Year 2016	Court-to-Date
Supervised Release Screenings	1,916	1916
Supervised Release Cases	451	451
Cases that Attended All Court	82%	82%
Sucessfully Closed Cases	77%	77%
Cases with Voluntary Referrals	34%	34%
Total Referrals Made*	173	173

*Top referral types included employment and mental health.

VII. Special Initiatives

Mental Health Initiative (Started in March 2009)

	Year 2016		Court-to-Date	
	#	%	#	%
Flagged for MHI (percent of total)	2,438	32%	15,736	
Case Closure and Compliance*	1,550		10,735	
Completed	1,105	71%	8,375	78%
Partially completed, Failure, other	445	29%	2,360	22%
Special Mandates				
Mental Health Treatment Readiness Group only**	665	27%	5,138	33%
Individual Counseling Session only	440	18%	4,418	28%
Both Group and Counseling session	118	5%	1,486	9%
Voluntary referral	145	6%	1,264	8%

* Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

Compliance rate includes only those that showed up for intake.

**Includes Behavioral Health Management and temporary Health Wellness programs

Prostitution Initiative (including Human Trafficking Intervention) (Started in April 2010)

	Year 2016		Court-to-Date	
	#	%	#	%
Prostitution Case (percent of total)	121	2%	1,373	
Case Closure and Compliance*	132		1,265	
Completed	98	74%	905	72%
Partially Completed, Failed, Other	34	26%	360	28%
Special Mandates				
Prostitution Individual Counseling Session	107	88%	1040	76%
Other Mandated Programming***	6	5%	223	16%

* Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

Compliance rate includes only those that showed up for intake.

*** Clients were referred to Girls Education & Mentoring Services, Midtown Community Court's Transwomen Empowerment, and Women's Independence Safety and Empowerment (WISE) programming. BCS implemented in-house WISE programming starting in 2015.

DWI Initiative (Started in 2009)

	Year 2016		Court-to-Date	
Total clients referred to screening/assessment	1142		6,368	
	#	%	#	%
Clients attending screening/assessment	808	71%	5,246	82%
Mandated to treatment	54	7%	480	9%
Compliance including open cases*	93%		84%	

*Compliance rate includes those cases that were open in the relevant time period, and remain open or are closed, successfully completed. It excludes cases that were withdrawn as these are not considered a program success or failure.

Court Based Intervention and Resource Team – CIRT (Started in 2014)

	Year 2016	Court-to-Date
Cases Screened by CIRT	201	475
Cases Enrolled in CIRT	56	147
CIRT Intakes*	56	150
Misdemeanor Alternative to Detention	5	26
Misdemeanor Alternative to Incarceration	14	34
Felony Alternative to Detention	3	17
Felony Alternative to Incarceration	34	73
Case Closure: Compliance rates^	52%	62%

* Cases may have multiple intake types due to changes within court cases while enrolled in CIRT.

^ Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

BROOKLYN
MENTAL HEALTH
COURT

Year 2016

Brooklyn Mental Health Court Annual Report Year 2016

Attached is the Brooklyn Mental Health Court annual report for 2016. Highlights include:

New Referrals: There were 170 new referrals in 2016, marking an increase from year 2015 (137 referrals).

New Participants: Eighty-one new participants joined the Brooklyn Mental Health Court in 2016, bringing the total number of participants in the court since inception in March 2002 to 1,193.

Program Participation and Compliance: As of the end of 2016, 83 percent of active participants were in compliance with court mandates. Eleven were incarcerated after being picked up on warrants, arrested for new offenses, or remanded by the judge to a short stay in the jail as a sanction for noncompliance. Bench warrants were outstanding for another seven court participants.

Graduates & Failures: Sixty-four participants graduated from the court in 2016 after successfully complying with all program requirements, bringing the total number of graduates to 798. Seven participants were sentenced for significant failure to comply with program mandates, bringing the total number of sentenced participants to 208.

I. Referrals

A) New Intake

	Year 2016	Court to Date ¹
New Referrals	170	2,345
Clinical Evaluations Completed²	149	1,841
New Participants	81	1,193

¹ Brooklyn Mental Health Court began accepting cases in March 2002.

² Denotes when a written report by a clinical social worker and/or psychiatrist is completed and distributed in court.

B) Referral Source

	Year 2016		Court to Date	
	#	%	#	%
730 Return	12	7%	260	11%
730 Found Fit	0	0%	17	1%
Defense Attorney	39	23%	1,235	53%
District Attorney	119	70%	721	31%
Specialized Courts¹	0	0%	20	1%
Other Judge Referrals	0	0%	77	3%
Other	0	0%	13	1%
Unknown	0	0%	2	0%
Total Referrals	170	100%	2,345	100%

¹ Includes Brooklyn Treatment Court, Enhanced Screening/STEP, Misdemeanor Brooklyn Treatment Court, and Operation Spotlight.

II. Program Eligibility

A) Eligibility Summary	Defendant Status	
	12/31/2016	
	N	%
Candidates (eligibility pending)	26	1%
Eligible (plea date pending)	17	1%
Participants	1,193	51%
Ineligible/Non-participants	1,109	47%
Total	2,345	100%
<hr/>		
B) Eligibility Details¹		
1. Candidates	26	0%
2. Eligible for Brooklyn Mental Health Court (plea date pending)	17	1%
3. Participants²	1,193	51%
<i>New participants (2016)</i>	81	
Active Participants	108	
Graduated	798	
Terminated	208	
Administratively Closed	79	
4. Eligible for Brooklyn Mental Health Court but not participating (Non-participants)	385	16%
Defendant opted out	143	
District Attorney rejected	17	
District Attorney placed in TADD ³	13	
Sentenced served	4	
Judge Rejected	169	
Other	39	
5. Ineligible for Brooklyn Mental Health Court	724	32%
Ineligible on mental health criteria	277	
Ineligible on criminal justice criteria	59	
Eligibility undetermined ⁴	388	
Total	2,345	100%

¹ Eligibility on criminal justice and mental health grounds is determined by Brooklyn Mental Health Court staff. The judge, DA and defendant must concur before candidate can become a participant.

² Includes current participants, graduates, and people terminated from program.

³ Treatment Alternatives for Dually Diagnosed Defendants

⁴ DA rejected case prior to clinical assessment or defendant chose not to participate before a clinical assessment was completed.

III. Criminal Charges

As of 12/31/2016

	Participant ¹		Candidate ²		Non-Part ³		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Summary								
Misdemeanor	198	19%	4	10%	86	14%	288	17%
Felony (violent)	484	46%	31	76%	279	47%	794	47%
Felony (non-violent)	368	35%	6	15%	229	39%	603	36%
Total	1050	100%	41	100%	594	100%	1,685	100%
Misdemeanors								
Drug Sales and Possession	9	5%	0	0%	7	8%	16	6%
Crimes against People	111	56%	2	50%	46	54%	159	55%
Other	78	39%	2	50%	32	38%	112	39%
Misdemeanor Total	198	100%	4	100%	85	100%	287	100%
Felony								
Drug Sales/Drug Possession	112	13%	1	3%	51	10%	164	12%
Crimes against Property	191	23%	7	19%	107	21%	305	22%
Crimes against People (violent)	333	40%	20	54%	207	41%	560	40%
Crimes against People (non-violent)	89	11%	0	0%	47	9%	136	10%
Other	118	14%	9	24%	97	19%	224	16%
Felony Total	843	100%	37	100%	509	100%	1,389	100%

¹ Note: Slight differences in data availability with respect to charge severity (more data available) vs. specific charge type (less data available) account for the discrepancies in reported totals in this column.

² Includes defendants waiting for eligibility determination and eligible candidates awaiting plea date; approximately 5% missing charge data.

³ Consistent with previous reporting periods, approximately 53% of charge data is missing for the nonparticipant category.

IV. Case Processing

	Days to Eligibility Determination ¹			Days to Plea Date ²		
	N	Median	Mean	N	Median	Mean
As of 12/31/2016						
1. Participants	1,193	28	39	1,193	70	86
2. Candidates ³	43	42	47			
3. Ineligible/Non-Participants ⁴	1,109	28	44			
As of 12/31/2016^{4,5}						
1. Remained in pre-arrest housing				707	56	68
2. Supported housing (arranged)				155	121	144
3. Residential drug treatment (arranged)				225	84	104
4. Other				106	77	91

¹ Number of days from defendant's first contact with mental health court to date of eligibility determination

² Number of days from defendant's first contact with mental health court to date of plea

³ Data is provided for 17 who are eligible pending plea, but incomplete for 26 who are still pending eligibility as of 12/31/16.

⁴ Less than 1% data missing.

⁵ Includes all participants court to date

V. Current Program Status

As of 12/31/2016

A) Program Status	Female		Male		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
1. Open	19	6%	71	8%	90	8%
2. Graduated	212	70%	586	66%	798	67%
3. Remanded	8	3%	3	0%	11	1%
4. Warranted	4	1%	3	0%	7	1%
5. Terminated/sentenced	36	12%	172	19%	208	17%
6. Administratively closed ¹	23	8%	56	6%	79	7%
Total	302	100%	891	100%	1,193	100%

¹ Cases are administratively closed when a participant no longer has an active case in the Brooklyn Mental Health Court but neither graduated nor was sentenced. Reasons for administratively closing a case include death of a participant, a finding of legal incompetence, issuance of a warrant for a participant who was scheduled to graduate, or existence of a bench warrant that has been outstanding for longer than nine months.

**VI. Treatment Status Report
2011-2016**

2011-2016: Five-Year Cumulative

A) Housing¹	Female		Male		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Community Residence or Other Supported Site	9	8%	24	7%	33	7%
Supported Apartment	7	6%	12	3%	19	4%
Residential Drug Treatment	5	5%	16	4%	21	4%
Residential MICA (OASAS)	7	6%	27	8%	34	7%
Residential MICA (OMH)	0	0%	3	1%	3	1%
Family/Friends	59	53%	209	58%	268	57%
Independent Living	14	13%	30	8%	44	9%
Program Shelter	7	6%	23	6%	30	6%
Other	3	3%	16	4%	19	4%
Total	111	100%	360	100%	471	100%

¹Housing listed as appears on treatment mandate at time of program entry

2011-2016: Five-Year Cumulative

B) Treatment²	Female		Male		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
ICM/SCM	40	20%	95	16%	135	17%
Case Management	54	27%	161	27%	215	27%
MICA Treatment	53	27%	187	31%	240	30%
Substance Abuse Treatment (Outpatient)	7	4%	23	4%	30	4%
Substance Abuse Treatment (Residential)	10	5%	38	6%	48	6%
Housing	11	6%	52	9%	63	8%
MICA Housing	7	4%	14	2%	21	3%
Vocational Rehab/Education	14	7%	31	5%	45	6%
ACT Team	2	1%	5	1%	7	1%
Other ³	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total services received	198	100%	606	100%	804	100%

²Numbers reflect treatment type received; up to three treatment types per participant may be recorded.

³Includes youth-specific and young adult services.

VI. Treatment Status Report, continued
Active Participants as of 12/31/16

C) Diagnosis	<u>Female</u>		<u>Male</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
First diagnosis (Formerly Axis I; required)						
1. Bipolar	2	8%	8	10%	10	9%
2. Major Depression	11	42%	25	30%	36	33%
3. Schizophrenia	4	15%	29	35%	33	31%
4. Schizoaffective	6	23%	14	17%	20	19%
5. Cannabis Use Disorder	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
6. Other Substance Use Disorder ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7. Other ²	3	12%	5	6%	8	7%
Total	26	100%	82	100%	108	100%
Second Diagnosis (Formerly Axis I; identified)						
1. Cannabis Use Disorder	2	11%	15	25%	17	22%
2. Cocaine Use Disorder	2	11%	9	15%	11	14%
3. Opiate Use Disorder	1	6%	0	0%	1	1%
4. Alcohol Use Disorder	5	28%	7	12%	12	15%
5. Other Substance Use Disorder ¹	3	17%	4	7%	7	9%
6. Trauma and Stressor Related Disorders (e.g., PTSD)	4	22%	5	8%	9	12%
7. Other ³	1	6%	20	33%	21	27%
Total	18	100%	60	100%	78	100%

¹ Updated DSM-V classification includes polysubstance abuse and any other substance use disorder.

² Includes Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (3), Unspecified Depressive Disorder (2), Delusional Disorder (1) and ADHD (1).

³ Includes Learning Disorder (5), Anxiety disorders (5), Bipolar Disorder (3), ADHD (2), Unspecified Depressive Disorder (2), Sexual disorders (2), Unspecified Mood Disorder (1), and Disruptive Behavior Disorder (1).

C) Co-Occurring Disorders¹	<u>Female</u>		<u>Male</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Mental Illness Only	13	50%	47	57%	60	56%
Co-Occurring Disorders	13	50%	35	43%	48	44%
Total	26	100%	82	100%	108	100%

¹ Reflects diagnosis at time of intake.

HARLEM
COMMUNITY
JUSTICE CENTER

Year 2016

Harlem Community Justice Center Annual Report

Year 2016

Attached is the Harlem Community Justice Center annual report for 2016. Highlights include:

Housing Court: In 2016, the number of cases filed remained relatively stable, slightly decreasing to 4,835 cases compared to 5,089 in 2015. Of the cases seen in 2016, 86 percent were for non-payment. In 2016, the number of new cases served by the Help Center decreased to 597. The Help Center primarily focuses on eviction prevention, which includes assistance with rental arrears, providing legal info for unrepresented litigants as well as assistance to help avoid future housing legal issues.

Parole Reentry Programming: The Harlem Community Justice Center's reentry programming consists of the Manhattan Reentry Task Force and Reentry Court. The Manhattan Reentry Task Force provides intensive case management assistance and interventions to moderate and high risk parolees returning from incarceration for the first three months of their release. Those who are at moderate risk of rearrest are transferred out of the program at three months, after being connected with community programming. Those who are high risk are transferred into the Reentry Court program, where they receive an additional six months of programming and interventions.

- **Manhattan Reentry Task Force:** The Manhattan Reentry Task Force started enrolling high-risk parolees in June 2010. The Reentry Task Force provides intensive case management, coordinated services, and cognitive behavioral therapy for high risk individuals returning to Manhattan. In 2016, the Reentry Task Force enrolled 210 new participants, a slight decrease from 226 in 2015. The program had a successful completion rate of 100 percent in 2016. Fifty-four parolees completed the "Thinking for a Change" cognitive behavioral therapy program this period.

- **Reentry Court:** The Reentry Court enrolled 83 new cases in 2016, compared to 121 in 2015. The Reentry Court's rate of successful completion for this period was 77 percent compared to 64 percent in 2015.

Harlem Justice Corps: Sponsored by the City's Young Men's Initiative, the Harlem Justice Corps Program launched in October 2012 as a 6-month employment program for formerly incarcerated individuals between the ages of 18-24. During 2016, 42 participants enrolled. There were 23 employment gains; 2 educational gains; and 2 educational placements.

Youth Court: In 2016, Youth Court held 75 hearings in 2016 compared to 80 hearings in 2015. The total number of sanctions assigned was 80. The compliance rate was 99 percent in 2016.

Circles of Support: Circles of Support is a partnership with the Interfaith Center of New York and the Church of Heavenly Rest that cultivates leadership among the formerly incarcerated, their families, and faith leaders to strengthen our communities and improve reentry outcomes. In 2016, 37 clients were enrolled.

Justice Plus: Beginning in 2014, Justice Plus is a citywide program that connects participants referred by Cure Violence sites with work-readiness opportunities. The program ended in March 2016. In 2016, the 10 participants who enrolled in late 2015 graduated. Seven were placed in employment or vocational programming and 3 were placed in educational settings.

Project Reset: Project Reset is a program for 16- and 17-year-old first time offenders that offers meaningful diversion opportunities to these young people at the point of arrest. The program seeks to create a proportionate response to low-level crime, holding young people accountable for their actions and connecting them with needed social services while avoiding the use of incarceration and the potential harms associated with standard case processing. In 2016, 60 participants were enrolled with a 98% completion rate.

I. Harlem Community Justice Center Total Volume

	Year 2016	Program to Date
Housing Court, cases filed during period	4,835	90,817
Help Center, new intakes during period	597	18,994
Family Court		
Harlem arrest, Harlem Community Justice Center Probation Intake	0	333
Petitions Filed in or Transferred to Harlem	0	53
Downtown cases referred for services	0	806
Parole Reentry, new cases during period	83	1,341
Manhattan Reentry Task Force, new cases during period	210	1,126
Harlem Justice Corps, total participants enrolled	42	305
Youth Court, hearings during period	75	1,312
Mediation, sessions held during period	0	594
Custody and Visitation, cases seen during period	0	85
TOTAL	5,842	115,766

II. Harlem Housing Court, opened May 2001

	Year 2016				Program to Date			
	Private %	NYCHA %	Total #	Total %	Private %	NYCHA %	Total #	Total %
A. New Filings	61%	39%	4,835	100%	60%	40%	90,817	100%
B. Cases Filed But Not Seen by Court¹	57%	43%	1,495	100%	49%	51%	36,095	100%
C. Cases Seen by Court²	Private	NYCHA	Total		Private	NYCHA	Total	
Nonpayment	82%	92%	2,864	86%	78%	95%	45,769	84%
HP action	7%	4%	192	6%	11%	0%	4,322	8%
Holdover	10%	4%	266	8%	10%	5%	4,334	8%
Other	1%	0%	18	1%	1%	0%	297	1%
TOTAL	100%	100%	3,340	100%	100%	100%	54,722	100%
D. Scheduled Appearances	Private	NYCHA	Total		Private	NYCHA	Total	
	70%	30%	10,967	100%	75%	25%	162,642	100%
E. Scheduled Motion and Order to Show Cause (OSC) Appearances³								
	Private	NYCHA	Total		Private	NYCHA	Total	
Motions	95%	5%	530	100%	96%	4%	9,912	100%
OSC's	70%	30%	3,925	100%	74%	26%	61,908	100%
TOTAL			4,455				71,820	

¹These cases were filed in the current year/program to date, but did not (or have not yet) appeared in court.

² Nonpayment case: where landlord seeks to evict tenant for nonpayment of rent. Holdover case: where landlord seeks to evict tenant for reasons other than nonpayment of rent (e.g. nuisance behavior, illegal activity in apartment, lease expiration). HP Action: where tenant brings landlord to court to get repairs done. Other: includes Illegal Lockouts, where tenants are locked out of their apartment without a proper court proceeding (i.e. where landlord or roommate changes locks), and 7A Proceedings, where a group of tenants seeks to have an administrator appointed to manage a building instead of the landlord. Cases Seen by Court represents cases, not appearances. Even cases with multiple appearances are counted only once in this section.

³ Order to Show Cause: an ex parte motion brought by a party seeking immediate relief from the court.

II. Harlem Housing Court, continued

	Year 2016				Program to Date			
F. Final Dispositions ¹								
Disposition Categories as of 2016²	Private	NYCHA	Total		Private	NYCHA	Total	
Stipulation of Parties	31%	28%	1,137	30%	1%	1%	1,137	1%
Settled Stipulation in File	19%	5%	524	14%	1%	0%	524	1%
Discontinued	22%	40%	1,105	29%	1%	1%	1,105	1%
Default Judgment	21%	24%	838	22%	1%	1%	838	1%
Other	8%	2%	212	6%	0.3%	0.1%	212	0.2%
Disposition Categories Prior to 2016²								
Other					2%	2%	2,253	3%
Judgment Entered					21%	15%	21,161	24%
Inactive					74%	80%	60,309	69%
TOTAL	100%	100%	3,816	100%	100%	100%	87,539	100%
G. OSC Motion Outcomes								
Granted	72%	30%	2,621	77%	76%	24%	35,099	78%
Denied	68%	32%	783	23%	77%	23%	10,146	22%
TOTAL	77%	23%	3,404	100%	76%	24%	45,245	100%
H. Number of Inquests			0		69%	31%	1,189	100%
I. Number of Trials³			10				154	

¹ A stipulation is an agreement reached between the parties in a case. A stipulation is binding and the parties are obligated to comply with the terms of the agreement. In housing court, stipulations are written and ordered by the Judge. Case Discontinued means that the case is closed either because 1) the tenant paid their rent; or 2) repairs were completed; A Default Judgment occurs when the landlord automatically wins the case because the tenant fails to show up in court or answer court papers. Other dispositions include case consolidated, dismissed, transferred, withdrawn, and marked off calendar.

²In 2016, Harlem Housing Court transferred to a new database. Judgement Entered and Inactive are dispositions recorded from the conversion.

³Private/NYCHA breakdown data not available for trials.

III. Help Center, opened June 2000

	Year 2016		Program to Date	
A. New Intakes	#		#	
	597		18,994	
B. Service Referrals ¹	#	%	#	% referrals
referred to HRA	483	64%	4,655	41%
referred to pro se attorney	222	29%	3,903	34%
referred to other agencies	54	7%	2,817	25%
<i>of these:</i>				
Legal Services	30		898	
Adult Protective Services	0		691	
Harlem Community Justice Center Clinic ²	0		434	
Other ³	24		794	

¹ A client could receive more than one service referral in a given period.

² Referred to the Clinic for additional case management services (i.e. further assessment or specialized assistance); this is an estimate provided by Clinic staff.

³ Other services include: Handouts, Phone Contact Log, Financial Assistance, and Resource Coordinator referrals.

IV. Harlem Parole Reentry Court, opened June 2001

	Year 2016		Program to Date	
	#		#	
A. Active in Program at end of period	48			
New cases during period	83		1341	
		% of total		% of total
B. Exited Program, during period¹	112		1256	
Completed Program	62	55%	711	57%
Completed, Early Termination	0	0%	15	1%
Failed, Absconded	5	4%	204	16%
Failed, Re-arrest/Detention	15	13%	113	9%
Failed, Non-compliant	0	0%	75	6%
Maxed-out of parole	4	4%	42	3%
Transferred out of area	23	21%	77	6%
Other ²	3	3%	19	2%
COMPLETION RATE³	77%		66%	
C. Court Activity, during period				
Number of Hearings Held	835		3105	

Note: All participants in the Parole Reentry Court program receive transitional employment/vocational training and substance abuse treatment. In addition, they receive case management services and are referred to a variety of other services on an as-needed basis.

¹ Parolees who are absconders or incarcerated pending final judgment may return to good standing in the program or may exit the program by returning to prison.

² Other includes all cases that concluded their participation but did not fail or complete successfully (i.e. died, returned on a detainer, etc.)

³ This completion rate excludes individuals who have exited the program due to transfer or move from the area or for other reasons, as these outcomes are not categorized as success or failure.

V. Manhattan Reentry Task Force, opened June 2010

	Year 2016		Program to Date	
	#		#	
A. Active in Program at end of period	27			
New cases during period	210		1126	
		% of total		% of total
B. Exited Program, during period, Harlem Reentry Task Force¹	229		1075	
Completed Program	223	97%	907	84%
Completed, Early Termination	0	0%	0	0%
Failed, Absconded ²	0	0%	24	2%
Failed, Re-arrest/Detention ²	0	0%	61	6%
Failed, Non-compliant ³	0	0%	25	2%
Maxed-out of parole	0	0%	5	0%
Transferred out of area	0	0%	39	4%
Other ⁴	6	3%	14	1%
COMPLETION RATE⁵	100%		89%	
C. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Program Activity, Manhattan Reentry				
Number of CBT Cycles Deployed	6		18	
Number of Referred CBT Participants	96		272	
Number of CBT Participants beginning group	96			
Number of CBT Cycles Completed	5		18	
Number of CBT Graduates	54		144	
COMPLETION RATE⁶	65%			

Note: All participants in the Task Force program receive transitional employment/vocational training, and substance abuse treatment. In addition, they receive case management services and are referred to a variety of other services on an as-needed basis.

¹ Parolees who are absconders or incarcerated pending final judgment may return to good standing in the program or may exit the program by returning to prison.

² Absconders were not identified distinctly in 2014. They have been included in the detention/re-arrest numbers here.

³ The term non-compliant refers to an individual who has been found guilty of a technical violation of a condition of his/her parole.

⁴ Other includes all cases that concluded their participation but did not fail or complete successfully (i.e. died, returned on a detainer, etc.).

⁵ This completion rate excludes individuals who have exited the program due to transfer or a move from the area or for other reasons, as these outcomes are not categorized as success or failure.

⁶ This rate is calculated as the number of graduates in the year out of the number of participants in completed cycles in year. In 2016, there were 83 participants in completed cycles.

VI. Harlem Justice Corps, opened October 2012

	Year 2016	Program to Date
	#	#
A. Participant Intake	42	305
Active in Program at end of Year	20	
Participants Entering Community Benefits Phase	39	234
B. Other Milestones¹		
Education Gains ²	2	31
Employment Readiness Credentials ³	29	126
Employment Gains ⁴	23	54
C. Community Benefit Service Projects (CBSP)⁵		
Number of participating cohorts	2	12
Number of Corps members completing service hours	23	127
Total hours completed in year	5,397	53,691

Note: Participants receive case management services and are referred to a variety of other services on an as-needed basis.

¹These measures have been added in 2013 to reflect new case tracking practices.

²A participant makes an education gain when he/she achieves a GED or enrolls in post secondary education during the program.

³ A participant achieves the employment readiness credential when he/she either attains a credential associated with successful completion of a recognized training provider (i.e. the Offender Workforce Development Credential) or obtains his/her state-issued identification card.

⁴ A participant makes an employment gain when he/she is placed in unsubsidized employment or enrolls in an eligible vocational training program.

⁵ Reporting CBSP data began in 2013.

VII. Youth Court, opened September 1999

	Year 2016		Program to Date	
A . Youth Court				
1. Total Youth Court Referrals	#		#	
	90		2,819	
2. Total Youth Court Cases	#	% of referrals		
Total Hearings	75	83%	1,312	
3. Offenses¹	#	% of offenses	#	% of offenses
Truancy	0	0%	280	21%
Disorderly Conduct	2	2%	180	13%
Petit Larceny	7	8%	79	6%
Harassment/Aggregated Assault	0	0%	54	4%
Robbery	1	1%	26	2%
Assault	1	1%	177	13%
Theft Of Services	8	9%	58	4%
Trespass	21	23%	94	7%
Marijuana Possession	32	36%	101	7%
Weapons	2	2%	55	4%
Insubordination	0	0%	69	5%
Shoplifting	13	14%	51	4%
Graffiti	0	0%	13	1%
Other ²	3	3%	119	9%
4. Number of Sanctions Assigned	80		1,527	
Number Cases with Sanctions	77		1,882	
Cases Completing sanctions	74		1,284	
Cases with Incomplete sanctions	1		547	
Open cases	2		85	
5. Total Youth Court Compliance Rate³	99%		71%	
6. Active Members	23			

¹ Hearings can be for more than one offense; category "Robbery" was added in 2013.

² "Other" refers to Juvenile Law charges, specifically Incurability/Needs Supervision and Runaway.

³ Youth court compliance rate for 2016 is determined by dividing the number of cases with completed sanctions by the number of cases with sanctions, excluding open cases.

Brooklyn
Justice
Initiatives

Year 2016

Brooklyn Justice Initiatives Annual Report Year 2016

Attached is the Brooklyn Justice Initiatives annual report for 2016. Highlights include:

Volume: Brooklyn Justice Initiatives is comprised of several different programs located in Brooklyn Criminal Court, providing criminal court judges sentencing alternatives that minimize the use of detention and incarceration and improve service delivery for specific populations of defendants (adolescents, those arrested for prostitution, etc.). In 2016, Brooklyn Justice Initiatives served 2,078 cases, a significant increase from 785 cases served in 2015. Since inception in August 2013, Brooklyn Justice Initiatives has served 3,566 cases.

Compliance: During the 2016 reporting period, the overall compliance rate for all participants was 84 percent.

Voluntary Services: The number of voluntary referrals in 2016 was 394. The most common referrals were for employment, mental health services, and substance abuse treatment.

Young Adult Part: In 2016, the Kings County District Attorney's Office (KCDA) and the Center for Court Innovation received a grant through the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Initiatives' Smart Prosecution program to expand adolescent diversion to include misdemeanor cases for defendants between 16 and 14 years old. On March 28, 2016, the new Brooklyn Young Adult Part, also known as APY-2, officially launched. This partnership and the resulting grant have allowed BJI to expand, and KCDA to create a bureau dedicated to youth ages 16-24. The expansion of the youth part focuses primarily on moderate- to high-risk misdemeanor cases. This grant has allowed Brooklyn Justice Initiatives to provide alternative to incarceration services to youth 16-24 years old throughout the entire borough of Brooklyn. In 2016 the program served 1,193 cases, a 134% increase from 510 cases in 2015. This included 317 cases involving 16- and 17- year olds within the Adolescent Diversion Program and 876 cases involving 18- through 24-year-olds within the Young Adult Program. Overall compliance for the Young Adult & Adolescent Alternatives to Incarceration program was 97 percent.

Pre-Trial Supervised Release: On March 1, 2016, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice (MOCJ) launched a citywide bail reform initiative in all five boroughs. As part of this initiative, the Brooklyn Supervised Release Program, originally piloted as a program for misdemeanants in November 2013, was revised based on MOCJ criteria. The revised criteria includes nonviolent felony cases, as well as eligible misdemeanor cases flagged by the MOCJ risk assessment tool. Since the launch in March 2016, the Supervised Release program served 749 cases. 84% of cases attended all court. Including the pilot program starting in 2013, Supervised Release has enrolled 1,064 cases.

Human Trafficking Intervention Court: In 2016, Brooklyn Justice Initiatives served 105 cases in the Human Trafficking Intervention Court, a decrease from 176 in 2015. Overall compliance for the program was 77 percent.

Court-Based Intervention and Resource Team (CIRT): CIRT offers both alternative-to detention (ATD) options pre-plea, as well as expanding upon the array of currently available alternative-to-incarceration (ATI) post-plea options in Brooklyn. By serving individuals with diverse mental health needs who can be safely supervised in the community during the pendency of their criminal case and/or as an alternative sentence upon conviction without compromise to public safety, CIRT aims to reduce the length of stay for mentally ill defendants in jail. In 2016, CIRT enrolled 31 cases. 100% of clients were referred to treatment.

I. Total Volume

	Year 2016		Court to Date*	
Total Brooklyn Justice Initiatives Volume	2,078		3,566	
	#	%	#	%
Young AdultPart: Alternatives to Incarceration^	1,193	57.4%	2,272	63.7%
Pre-Trial Supervised Release Program	749	36.0%	749	21.0%
Human Trafficking Intervention Court	105	5.1%	474	13.3%
Court Based Intervention and Resource Team - CIRT	31	1.5%	71	2.0%

*Brooklyn Justice Initiatives launched on 8/19/13. Start date for the Court to Date column is as follows for the programs listed:

Young Adult Part: Alternatives to Incarceration: 8/19/13

Human Trafficking Intervention Court: 10/1/13

Supervised Release: 3/1/16. The pilot program (11/25/13 - 2/29/16) enrolled 315 casess but they are not included in the total.

CIRT: 8/1/14

^ Young adult part includes clients between 16 and 24 years old, which includes cases in the Adolescent Diversion Part (ADP).

II. Young Adult Part (16-24): Alternatives to Incarceration*

A. Volume and Compliance

	Year 2016	Court to Date**
Alternatives to Incarceration Mandates	1,193	2,272
Community Service Only	1%	2%
Social Service Only	70%	72%
Community & Social Service	29%	26%
Case Closure: Compliance Rates^		
Overall Compliance	97%	95%
Community Service Only	75%	91%
Social Service Only	98%	96%
Community & Social Service	94%	93%

^ Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

**Court to Date as of 8/19/13

* Young adult part includes clients between 16 and 24 years old, which includes cases in the Adolescent Diversion Part (ADP).

B. Adolescent Diversion Program (ADP, 16-17)*
(part of the Young Adult Part: Alternatives to Incarceration)

	Year 2016	Court to Date**
Total ADP (16-17) Cases*	317	1151
Social Service Only	76%	76%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	3.7	4.7
Community Service Only	0%	1%
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	0	2.4
Social & Community Service	24%	23%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	1.6	3.5
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	2.6	2.5
Mandated - Total Mean Days	4.2	6.0
Case Closure: Compliance Rates^		
Overall Compliance	94%	93%
Social Service	97%	95%
Community Service	100%	100%
Social & Community Service	85%	90%

* Includes clients who are between 16 and 17 years old and arraigned only at 120 Schermerhorn.

** Court to Date as of 8/19/13

^ Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

C. Young Adult (18-24) Alternatives to Incarceration
(part of the Young Adult Part: Alternatives to Incarceration)

	Year 2016	Court to Date**
Total Young Adult (18-24) Cases*	876	1121
Social Service Only	68%	68%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	4.0	4.3
Community Service Only	1%	2%
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	4.0	2.5
Social & Community Service	31%	30%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	1.6	2.0
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	3.2	3.0
Mandated - Total Mean Days	4.8	5.0
Case Closure: Compliance Rates^		
Overall Compliance	98%	97%
Social Service	99%	86%
Community Service	67%	98%
Social & Community Service	97%	96%

* Includes clients who are between 18 and 24 years old and arraigned only at 120 Schermerhorn.

** Court to Date as of 8/19/13

^ Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

III. Pre-Trial Supervised Release

	Year 2016	Court to Date**
Supervised Release Screenings	2451	2451
Supervised Release Cases	749	749
Cases that Attended All Court	84%	84%
Successfully Closed Cases	63%	63%
Cases with Voluntary Referrals	13%	13%
Total Referrals Made*	186	186

*Top referral types included education and employment.

**Court to Date as of 3/1/2016 when the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice began their Supervised Release expansion program. BJI ran a pilot program from 11/25/2013 to 2/29/2016, which screened 494 cases and enrolled 315 with a compliance rate of 71%. These pilot cases are not included in these court to date numbers.

IV. Human Trafficking Intervention Court

	Year 2016	Court to Date**
Human Trafficking Intervention Court Cases	105	474
Case Closure: Compliance Rates^	77%	78%

^ Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

**Court to Date as of 10/1/13

V. Court Based Intervention and Resource Team - CIRT

	Year 2016	Court to Date**
Cases Screened by CIRT	83	236
Cases Enrolled in CIRT	31	71
CIRT Intakes*	34	78
Misdemeanor Alternative to Detention	5	16
Misdemeanor Alternative to Incarceration	12	28
Felony Alternative to Detention	5	11
Felony Alternative to Incarceration	12	23
Case Closure: Compliance Rates^	59%	61%

* Cases may have multiple intake types depending where the case is within the legal process while enrolled in CIRT.

**Court to Date as of 8/1/14

^ Case Closure represents cases opened in any year but closed within the given period.

NEWARK
COMMUNITY
SOLUTIONS

Year 2016

Newark Community Solutions Annual Report Year 2016

Attached is the Newark Community Solutions annual report for 2016. Highlights include:

Volume: From January 1 through December 31, 2016, 1,534 individuals were mandated to Newark Community Solutions. Of individuals mandated to Newark Community Solutions during the 2016 reporting period, 92 percent (1,404) appeared for intake.

Mandates: During 2016, clients with a social and community service combined mandate averaged 3.6 days of social service and 2.8 days of community service, for a total of 6.4 days (1,348). Those with social service only mandates averaged 5.3 days (146), and those with community service only mandates averaged 5.9 days (40).

Compliance: During the 2016 reporting period, the compliance rate for the social service and community service combined mandates was 81 percent; the compliance rate for the social service only mandates was 74 percent; and the compliance rate for the community service only mandates was 84 percent.

Voluntary Cases: During the 2016 reporting period, 4 percent (69) of Newark Community Solutions cases (1,605) were voluntary.

Community Service: During the 2016 reporting period, Newark Community Solutions clients completed 17,742 hours of community service.

Case Data: During 2016, drug charges comprised 61 percent, property charges comprised 9 percent, and administration of the law charges comprised 6 percent of the Newark Community Solutions cases.

Youth Court: The Newark Youth Court inducted 16 new members and received 124 case referrals from the Newark Municipal Court, Newark Public Schools, and Newark Police Department. The Youth Court held 81 hearings with a compliance rate of 81 percent. During 2016, Newark Youth Court members performed 347 community service hours.

Newark United Against Violence: Between November 2013 and September 2016, Newark Community Solutions launched and administered Newark United Against Violence (NUAV). Sponsored by the Newark Office of Reentry, NUAV was a violence reduction program targeting young men and women between the ages of 18 and 30, who were actively engaged in street organizations and violence in an identified police 'hotspot' zone. Participants received a spectrum of case management services, cognitive behavior therapy, mentoring services, as well as both job-readiness and educational services. By the end of the grant period, NUAV exceeded enrollment requirements: a total of 116 participants completed the minimum requirements for either CBT or mentoring services, and 44 participants completed the minimum requirements for both CBT and mentoring services. In addition, 50 participants met the 30-day requirement for employment and 18 met the requirements for six months of employment. Since the program began, only 10 participants reported new criminal incidents (conviction or incarceration on a new crime or a revocation of parole) within one year of their enrollment in the program.

I. Mandates

	Year 2016	Court-to-Date [^]
New Mandates to Newark Community Solutions	1534	6489
Social Service Only	146 10%	590 9%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	5.28 days	4.60 days
Community Service Only	40 3%	84 1%
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	5.93 days	5.24 days
Social & Community Service, Combined	1348 88%	5811 90%
Mandated - Mean Days of Social Service	3.61 days	3.82 days
Mandated - Mean Days of Community Service	2.75 days	2.22 days
Mandated - Total Mean Days	6.36 days	6.04 days
Compliance Rates¹	80%	71%
Social Service Mandate	74%	67%
Community Service Mandate²	84%	82%
Social & Community Service Mandate	81%	71%
Reasons for Case Closure¹		
Success	80%	71%
Completed Mandate	79%	65%
Completed Mandate - Case Dismissed/Withdrawn	1%	5%
Failure	19%	29%
New Arrest (Superior Court Charge)	1%	1%
New Arrest (Municipal Court Charge)	2%	5%
Program Dismissal due to Behavior	0%	1%
Absconded	16%	22%
Voluntary Participants³	69	1157

¹ Compliance and Case Closure Rates presents data on cases opened in any year but closed within the given period. These do not include cases that opted out of the program (6) or cases with missing mandate information (6).

² This mandate type contained only 37 cases (3%) that closed in 2016.

³ In 2016, voluntary clients were walk-ins or previously mandated clients who return for additional social services. Prior to 2016, voluntary clients were predominantly comprised of court referrals with no plea, probation/parole or traffic cases.

[^] Newark Community Solutions opened in April 2011.

II. Baseline Characteristics

	Year 2016	Court-to-Date [^]
Average Age	34.72 years old	38.63 years old
Gender		
Female	20%	23%
Male	71%	69%
Transgender	<1%	<1%
Unknown	9%	8%
Race		
Black/African-American	59%	69%
Hispanic/Latino	15%	12%
White	10%	7%
Other/Unknown⁴	16%	12%
Positive Mental Health Screen^{5,6}	41%	45%
Positive Substance Abuse Screen^{5,7}	61%	72%
Positive Screens for Mental Health and Substance Abuse⁸	30%	38%
Positive Screen for Medical Needs⁹	57%	70%
Education (self-reported HS diploma or GED)	66%	56%
Homeless in the last year (self-reported)	17%	20%
Veteran	4%	4%

⁴ Additional race/ethnicities include multiracial, Native American or Alaska Native, Asian or Pacific Islander, or Unknown.

⁵ All Newark Community Solutions clients were screened for mental health and substance abuse issues using the CAGE-AID and the Brief Jail Mental Health Screen with additional questions from the Center for Court Innovation's assessment tool customized for Newark Community Solutions. Data collection for these tools began in May 2013.

⁶ Mental health data was missing for 9.2% of participants in 2016 and 9.1% of participants overall.

⁷ Substance abuse data was missing for 9.1% of participants in 2016 and 9.0% of participants overall.

⁸ For the comorbidity flag, data was missing for 9.1% of participants in 2016 and 9.0% of participants overall.

⁹ Medical needs were indicated by participants who indicated that they had a chronic medical condition or wished to receive medical services. Medical data was missing for 9.3% of participants in 2016 and 9.0% of participants overall.

[^] Newark Community Solutions opened in April 2011.

III. Social Services

Top NCS services for Mandated Clients	Year 2016	Services to Date [§]
	% of participants	% of participants
Individual Sessions	74%	76%
Orientation Group¹⁰	64%	63%
Substance Abuse Treatment Readiness Group	34%	38%
Clinical Assessment	4%	5%
Other¹¹	28%	20%

§ Consistent data entry for NCS on-site services began in 2014.

¹⁰ Reasons why NCS clients would not receive Orientation Group: returning client who attended the group during prior program engagement; client had a significant mental health or cognitive issue determined at intake and was therefore not clinically appropriate for a group setting; client had a limited number of social service days or required immediate assistance with linkage to treatment or other therapeutic intervention; or if client was a Veteran (and would then attend the Veteran's Group rather than Orientation Group).

¹¹ Other NCS services consist of: NCS Veterans, NCS Power of Women Group, NCS Relapse Prevention Group, NCS Thoughts Actions Feelings Group, and NCS-Fire this time.

Voluntary Referrals for All Clients*	Year 2016	Referrals to Date [§]
	% of participants	% of participants
Referral Type		
General Social Services¹²	4%	3%
Substance Abuse Treatment¹³	1%	1%
Medical/Dental Services¹⁴	2%	2%
Mental Health Services¹⁵	1%	1%
Veterans¹⁶	1%	1%
Other Referrals¹⁷	1%	1%

§ Voluntary referrals data were collected consistently starting in 2014.

* All NCS clients include both mandated and voluntary cases.

¹² General social services consist of: public assistance, identification assistance, insurance, housing, domestic violence and legal referrals.

¹³ Health/Medical services consist of: medical-related and dental referrals,

¹⁴ Substance Abuse Treatment consist of: inpatient, outpatient, rehabilitation, and detoxification referrals,

¹⁵ Mental health services consist of: psychotherapy, integrated case management, and assisted outpatient treatment services.

¹⁶ Veteran referrals include: Department of Veterans Affairs and veterans-focused social services.

¹⁷ Other referrals include: education and employment services, literacy, parenting, disability-related, and LGBTQ-related services.

IV. Top Charge Types

Year 2016		Court-to-Date [^]	
Drug	61%	Drug	59%
Property	9%	T-Pay¹⁸	21%
Administration of Law	6%	Property	8%
Public Order	5%	Administration of Law	4%
T-Pay¹⁸	5%	Morals	3%
		Public Order	3%

¹⁸ T-Pay refers to cases where a defendant has failed to comply with a court-ordered time payment plan. A "time payment plan" refers to a payment schedule approved by the court whereby the defendant agrees to pay the money owed to the court in installments over a court-approved period of time.

[^] Newark Community Solutions opened in April 2011.